

Bingham McCutchen LLP
DAVID M. BALABANIAN (SBN 37368)
CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)
JOY K. FUYUNO (SBN 193890)
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: (415) 393-2000
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286

Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

LAZIO FAMILY PRODUCTS, a sole
proprietorship located in Eureka, California, on
behalf of itself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation,

Defendant.

No. C-05-2859-MHP

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO CONTINUE FILING DATE
FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO
PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR
COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Lazio Family Products and Defendant
Intel Corporation hereby stipulate that Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall
be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to
coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 or, in the alternative,
45 days after any such motion has been denied. The parties request this extension of time to
answer or otherwise respond because the plaintiffs in *Brauch, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C 05-2743

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE RESPONSE DATE

(BZ) (N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005), a related matter, have filed a petition to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, and the above-styled action has been identified as a related action to that petition. As a result the outcome of the pending petition will impact significantly the schedule of this case.

This is the first stipulation between the parties. Because this litigation has just begun, granting such a stipulation will not have any negative impact on the schedule of this case.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.
DATED: July ___, 2005

Bingham McCutchen LLP

By: _____
JOY K. FUYUNO
Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation

DATED: July ___, 2005

The Furth Firm LLP

By: _____
ALEX C. TURAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lazio Family Products

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE DATE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2005

Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel
United States District Judge